

Psychology Board Minutes
May 15-16, 2003

The Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists met in Austin, Texas on May 15-16, 2003. The following Board members were in attendance: Brian Stagner, Ph.D., Chair; Pauline A. Clansy, Ed.D, Vice-Chair Catherine B. Estrada; Arthur E. Hernandez, Ph.D.; Michael D. Nogueira; Ruben Rendon, Jr., M.S.; Stephanie Sokolosky, M.P.S.; and Jess Ann Thomason. Also in attendance were Sherry L. Lee, Executive Director and Brett Norbraten, General Counsel. The following Board member was absent: M. David Rudd, Ph.D.

THURSDAY, May 15, 2003

1. The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Dr. Stagner.

2. The Board moved into Executive Session at 9:01 a.m.

The Board returned to open session at 9:12 a.m.

3. The Board moved to item IV, Minutes.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. CLANSY AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE FEBRUARY 6-7, 2003 BOARD MEETING WITH CHANGES TO ITEM 4(A) DELETE TWICE TYPED "DR." AND ITEM 8 (iii) CORRECT SPELLING FOR MS. ESTRADA. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

4. The Board moved to item V, Internal Audit on Enforcement and Agency Response.

A. Mr. Shaffner reviewed with the Board the drafted copy of the internal audit for the Enforcement Division.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED INTERNAL AUDIT WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

B. Mr. Shaffner discussed the Internal Audit Bill excluding small agencies from internal audits. If passed agencies would still need a Risk Assessment. This bill is currently in the Senate.

C. Ms. Lee stated that if this bill passes, the agency would have \$2,400 to commit to a Risk Assessment if expended this fiscal year.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO USE THE FUNDS FOR A RISK ASSESSMENT. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

5. The Board moved to item VII, Annual Evaluation of Executive Director.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE BOARD'S EVALUATION OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

6. The Board moved to item VIII, Chair's Report.

A. Dr. Stagner discussed the Board Goals for this fiscal year.

B. Dr. Stagner and Ms. Sokolosky attended the ASPPB meeting in San Antonio and discussed the following issues:

- i. why Texas does not accept the CPQ unconditionally;
- ii. Iowa is no longer giving oral examinations, so they cannot participate in the reciprocity program;
- iii. 1500 individuals less per year are now taking the EPPP exam;
- iv. possibly rewriting EPPP exam; and
- v. the need for professionals to demonstrate competency.

C. Dr. Stagner stated that ASPPB nominations must be submitted by June 9, 2003. Dr. Stagner will advise the Board if he is interested in being nominated.

D. Dr. Stagner informed the Board that the national ASPPB meeting, which is held in the fall, will be in Scottsdale, Arizona and the international meeting, will be in Montreal. Dr. Hernandez, Dr. Clansy and Ms. Sokolosky are interested in representing the Board in Montreal.

E. Dr. Stagner stated that he and Dr. Clansy would attend the meeting in Scottsdale.

F. Dr. Stagner stated that the Texas Psychological Association has invited the Board to speak at the meeting on November 6 - 8, 2003. The presentation would possibly be

on Saturday, November 8, 2003. The Board discussed if TPA would pay for Board staff to attend this meeting.

D. Dr. Stagner discussed various problems concerning continuing education:

- i. credit for attending luncheons;
- ii. legislation for one (1) hour in gerontology;
- iii. general standards for continuing education hours; and
- iv. recommendation that a certain number of hours must be in-person training.

AFTER DISCUSSION THE BOARD DECIDED TO TABLE THE DISCUSSION REGARDING CONTINUING EDUCATION AT THIS TIME.

7. The Board moved to item IX, Executive Director's Report.

A. Ms. Noack stated that due to the Comptroller's audit, all travel vouchers will be sent to Board members for original signatures and all hotel receipts must have a zero balance before reimbursement can be made.

B. Ms. Lee informed the Board that the agency has two (2) new computers and a new server, but due to budget cuts no more monies will be available to buy computers or equipment for this fiscal year.

C. Ms. Lee discussed issues concerning the Health Professions Council (HPC):

- i. proposal before the Legislature to require the HPC to administer the information resources, human resources and financial responsibilities for all HPC agencies, including boards from the Texas Department of Health;
- ii. proposal before the Legislature to create an Office of Patient Protection and use the HPC as administrative support; and
- iii. outsource all human resource services.

D. Ms. Lee stated that the Small State Agency Task Force supports legislation, so that small agencies will not have to have full internal audits annually.

E. Ms. Lee reported that the agency has not begun development on the online Roster, but information will be available in the newsletter updating licensees regarding this matter.

F. Ms. Lee stated that the rulebook is being printed.

G. Ms. Lee informed the Board that the newsletter will be out this summer.

H. Ms. Lee stated that online renewals have had no significant problems, but the agency is keeping a folder for any complaints regarding online renewals.

I. Ms. Lee discussed the procedure and cost for reprinting licenses and stationary in regards to Board member turnover. It was decided that the current procedure will not be changed.

J. Ms. Lee discussed the notification process for licensees regarding new ethics requirements for continuing education.

K. Ms. Lee discussed the reporting of civil and criminal actions.

L. Ms. Lee stated that the Roster will be available through the agency website.

M. Ms. Lee reviewed the results of the customer service surveys for FY 2002:

- i. this fiscal year approximately 500 fewer surveys were sent out than were sent last fiscal year;
- ii. survey results were reviewed with agency staff;
- iii. each year results are better than the previous year; and
- iv. all surveys are on the same scale.

N. Ms. Lee reviewed with the Board the travel expenditures and attendance of Board members from January 2001 through February 2003.

O. Ms. Lee presented the agency's Affirmative Action Plan to the Board.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO APPROVED THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

P. The Board reviewed letters with regards to the following individuals:

- i. Russell L. Adams, Ph.D. - regarding status of provisional license with the agency.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH MR. NOGUEIRA ABSTAINING.

ii. Patricia A. Barth, Ph.D. - regarding notification complaint resolution process.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

iii. Carrie Dodrill, M.A. - regarding postdoctoral hours for licensure as a psychologist.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

iv. Barbara Hall, Ph.D. - regarding work as a Substance Abuse Professional.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. THOMASON AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

v. Denise Humphrey, Ph.D. - regarding the postdoctoral year.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

vi. Elinore LaFebre-Wells - regarding LSSP training in public schools.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

vii. Chris McCarthy - regarding clarification of brochure.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

viii. Robert McPherson - regarding clarification of amendment to rule §465.38, Psychological Services in Schools.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH DR. CLANSY AND MR. RENDON ABSTAINING.

ix. Kelly Naramore, M.A. - regarding licensure of psychological associates.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. CLANSY AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

x. Marilu Price, Ph.D. - regarding advice on liability.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MR. RENDON TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS ANIMOUS.

xi. Randolph P. Reaves - regarding testing conditions for the EPPP examination.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

xii. Diana Ruiz - regarding internship requirements.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. THOMASON AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

xiii. David M. Sabine, Ph.D. - regarding pre-doctoral internship requirements.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH MS. SOKOLOSKY AND MR. NOGUIERA ABSTAINING.

xiv. Michael L. Spain - regarding the disposition of a psychologist's practice.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

xv. Malinda Spradin & Jennifer Carter - regarding pre-evaluation of educational credentials.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

xvi. Cecilia L. Zuniga, Ph.D. - regarding Board rule changes.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

xv. Mr. Rendon spoke in regards to the Russell L. Adams, opinion letter. He stated that the Board should use 501.303(a) of the Act to allow Dr. Adams to renew his license. The Board directed agency staff to review this issue and present their findings at the July board meeting.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO RESCIND THE PREVIOUS DECISION TO SEND DRAFTED RESPONSE. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS WITH DR. HERNANDEZ ABSTAINING.

8. The Board moved to item X, Sunset Task Force.

A. Dr. Hernandez discussed with the Board the upcoming Sunset Review.

9. The Board moved back to item IX, Executive Director's Report.

A. Ms. Lee discussed proposed policy changes:

i. Implementation of Schedule of Sanctions deleted Class 6 - educational review / letter of instruction;

ii. Publication in the Board Newsletter of Information Concerning Resolution of Complaints-repeal letters of instruction; and

iii. Policy on Letters of Instruction - deleted.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MR. RENDON VOTING TO APPROVE THE AMENDMENTS AND REPEAL. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

iv. Jurisprudence Examination.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO APPROVE THE POLICY AMENDMENT. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

10. The Board moved to item XI, Legislative Committee.

A. Ms. Lee discussed the history of legislative activities.

B. Ms. Lee discussed the 78th legislative session.

11. The Board moved to item XII, Psychological Associate Advisory Committee Report.

A. Ms. Sokolosky reviewed a letter submitted by Pamela Parsons, PAAC Chair updating the Board on various PAAC items.

12. The Board moved to item XIII, Rules Committee.

A. The Board reviewed the Proposed Rules.

i. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §463.11, Licensed Psychologist.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. CLANSY AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

ii. The Board reviewed proposed new Board rule §463.20, Refunds of Application and Examination Fees.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. THOMASON AND SECONDED BY MR. RENDON TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

iii. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.1, Timeliness of Complaints.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. CLANSY AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

iv. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.3, Standardized Complaint Form.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY DR. HERNANDEZ TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

v. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.4, Complaint Investigation.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. THOMASON AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

vi. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.5, Complaint Disposition.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

vii. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.6, Temporary Suspension of Licensure.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

viii. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.7, Persons with Criminal Backgrounds.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. CLANSY AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

ix. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.8, Rehabilitation Guidelines.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

x. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.13, Non-compliance with Continuing Education Requirements.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

xi. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.14, Monitoring of Licensees.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. SOKOLOSKY AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

xii. The Board reviewed proposed amendments to Board rule §469.15, Disciplinary Action for Persons with Dual Licensure.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. SOKOLOSKY AND SECONDED BY DR. HERNANDEZ TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

B. The Board reviewed Rules for Adoption.

i. The Board reviewed the amendments to Board rule §461.3, Former Board Members.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO BOARD RULE §461.3. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

ii. The Board reviewed the amendments to Board rule §461.7, License Statuses.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. SOKOLOSKY AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO BOARD RULE 461.7. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

iii. The Board reviewed the amendments to Board rule §461.11, Continuing Education.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO BOARD RULE §461.11. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

iv. The Board reviewed the amendments to Board rule §461.15, Compliance with Act, Rules, Board Directives and Orders.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. SOKOLOSKY AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO BOARD RULE §461.15. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

v. The Board reviewed the amendments to Board rule §461.17, Profile Information.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. SOKOLOSKY AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO BOARD RULE §461.17. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

vi. The Board reviewed the amendments to Board rule §463.2, Application Process.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. SOKOLOSKY AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO BOARD RULE §463.2. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

vii. The Board reviewed the amendments to Board rule §463.9, Licensed Specialist in School Psychology.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO BOARD RULE §463.9. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

viii. The Board reviewed the amendments to Board rule §469.11, Legal Actions Reported.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. SOKOLOSKY AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO BOARD RULE §469.11. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

C. The Board reviewed the proposal for Rule Review:

i. Chapter 463, Applications and Examinations, Board rules §§463.19 - 463.29 and Chapter 469, Complaint Enforcement.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED RULE REVIEW. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

D. The Board reviewed the adoption of Rule Review:

i. Chapter 461, General Rulings, Board rules §461.3, §461.11, §461.15, §461.17; Chapter 463, Applications and Examinations, Board rules §463.2 and §463.9.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY MS. THOMASON TO APPROVE THE ADOPTION OF RULE REVIEW. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

13. The Board moved to item XII, Budget Committee.

A. Ms. Lee reviewed the 2nd Quarter Performance Measures.

B. Ms. Lee reviewed with the Board the 7% cutback's for FY 2003.

C. Ms. Lee discussed the post-procurement audit results, in which the agency met their 90% standard.

14. The Board moved to item XV, Legal Matters.

A. Mr. Norbraten discussed procedures regarding the Motion for Rehearing for Thomas Cook, Ph.D.

15. The meeting was adjourned at 5:07 p.m. by Dr. Stagner.

FRIDAY, MAY 16, 2003

16. The meeting was called to order at 9:02 a.m. by Dr. Stagner. Mr. Michael D. Nogueira and M. David Rudd, Ph.D. were absent from the Board meeting.

17. The Board moved to item XV, Legal Matters.

A. Thomas H. Cook, Ph.D. spoke to the Board regarding the circumstances that resulted in the revocation of his license.

B. Mr. Norbraten gave a summation of the case:

i. all correspondence was sent out to the licensee certified and by regular mail;

ii. the Proposal for Decision was issued due to Dr. Cook not appearing up for the hearing;

iii. discovery was sent to Dr. Cook but no response was received; and

iv. A hearing was set but Dr. Cook did not respond or attend.

C. Mr. Norbraten recommended to the Board that a motion for rehearing be denied due to the agency having followed all procedures regarding this case.

D. Mr. Norbraten stated that the licensee could possibly be rehabilitated under Board rule 469.8, Rehabilitation Guidelines.

E. Ms. Courtney Newton, Counsel for Dr. Cook issued her rebuttal to the disciplinary action against her client.

F. Mr. Norbraten presented his closing arguments.

18. The Board moved into Executive Session at 9:50 a.m.

19. The Board returned to open session at 9:56 a.m.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY THAT THE MOTION FOR REHEARING BE OVERRULED. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

20. The Board moved into item XV, Legal Matters.

A. Mr. Norbraten discussed the adopted American Psychological Association Code of Ethics.

B. Mr. Norbraten stated the National Association of School Psychologists Code of Conduct is vague for Board rule purposes.

21. The Board moved into item XVI, Technology Committee.

A. Ms. Lee reviewed the statistics for renewals completed online.

B. Ms. Lee updated the Board regarding online profiles.

i. the template is user friendly;

- ii. profile information will be available to the public on January 1, 2004;
- iii. TSBEP is first agency to use online profiling.

22. The Board moved into item XVII, Oral Examination Committee.

A. Dr. Hernandez stated that the Oral Exam plays an important role in the licensure of professionals and protects the public interest.

B. Dr. Hernandez discussed the validity and reliability of the Oral Exam:

- i. the procedures are scripted;
- ii. the same process is used with all candidates;
- iii. there are procedures to safeguard for conflicts of interest;
- iv. there are procedures for handling of the split decisions;
- v. vignette questions are designed by members of the profession;
- vi. there are procedures to screen examiners.

C. Dr. Hernandez discussed the possibility of having a second set of reviewers who are unaware that the candidate is a split.

D. Dr. Hernandez stated that if a candidate had two split decisions in a row, a person could be appointed to review the tape and make a judgment.

(Ms. Thomason left at 10:28 p.m.)

E. Dr. Hernandez recommended that examiners be scheduled for a block of time for the Oral Exams.

AFTER DISCUSSION THE BOARD RECOMMENDED THAT DR. HERNANDEZ BRING THE FEEDBACK FORM AND PROPOSAL FOR PROCEDURE FOR ORAL EXAMINATION SPLITS TO THE JULY BOARD MEETING.

F. The Board reviewed correspondence from the following individual:

- i. Catherine A. Gourley, Ph.D. - oral examination appeal.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. CLANSY AND SECONDED BY MR. RENDON TO APPROVE THE DRAFTED RESPONSE WITH CHANGES. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

23. The Board moved to item XVIII, Written Examination Committee.

A. Mr. Rendon stated that there would be a June 2, 2003 consultants' meeting regarding the Jurisprudence Examination.

B. Ms. Lee informed the Board that if an applicant files special accommodations under the American with Disabilities Act the request must first go to ASPPB for their approval.

24. The Board moved into item XIX, Enforcement Committee.

A. Ms. Cynthia Barber, Enforcement Manager presented dismissal for Board ratification:

- i. Case No. 02-720-783;
- ii. Case No. 02-666-3275;
- iii. Case No. 02-687-2504;
- iv. Case No. 02-661-7372;
- v. Case No. 02-712-11327;
- vi. Case No. 02-713-5291;
- vii. Case No. 02-714-11508;
- viii. Case No. 02-715-10632;
- ix. Case No. 03-015-5150;
- x. Case No. 03-029-1290;
- xi. Case No. 03-008-2445; and
- xii. Case No. 03-032-12031

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE DISMISSALS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS.

B. Ms. Barber presented dismissal for Board ratification case No. 03-001-12289.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE DISMISSAL. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS WITH DR. CLANSY ABSTAINING FROM VOTING.

C. Ms. Barber presented dismissal for Board ratification:

i. Case No. 02-623-3788;

ii. Case No. 02-635-8690;

iii. Case No. 02-586-3837; and

iv. Case No. 01-533-5291 and 01-535-11327

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE DISMISSALS. THE MOTION WAS UNANIMOUS WITH MS. SOKOLOSKY ABSTAINING FROM VOTING.

D. Ms. Barber reviewed the Status Report.

E. Ms. Barber discussed the projected time line schedule change.

F. Mr. Norbraten presented the Agreed Orders and Proposal for Decision for the Board's approval:

i. The Board reviewed Agreed order No. 03-012-10266 in the matter of Justina E. Decker, M.S.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. HERNANDEZ AND SECONDED BY MS. ESTRADA TO APPROVE THE AGREED ORDER. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

ii. The Board reviewed Agreed order No. 02-636-5670 in the matter of Mark S. Rider, Ph.D.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. RENDON AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE AGREED ORDER. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH MS. ESTRADA ABSTAINING FROM VOTING.

iii. The Board reviewed Agreed order No. 02-560-4811 in the matter of John Trani, Ph.D.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE AGREED ORDER. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH MR. RENDON ABSTAINING FROM VOTING.

iv. The Board reviewed Agreed order No. 02-691-1125 in the matter of James K. Weatherly, Ph.D.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY MR. RENDON TO APPROVE THE AGREED ORDER. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH DR. CLANSY ABSTAINING FROM VOTING.

v. The Board reviewed Agreed order No. 02-717-6161 in the matter of Helen M. Comeau, Ph.D.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. ESTRADA AND SECONDED BY DR. CLANSY TO APPROVE THE AGREED ORDER. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH MR. RENDON ABSTAINING FROM VOTING.

G. The Board discussed the upcoming Informal Conference proposed attendees:

i. July - Ms. Estrada, Dr. Hernandez and Mr. Rendon; and

ii. November - Dr. Clansy, Ms. Sokolosky and possibly Ms. Estrada.

25. The Board moved into item XX, Compliance Committee.

A. Mr. Norbraten presented a request by an agreed order monitor for Michael Ganim, Ph.D. to have the monitoring term terminated. The licensee would still be on probation.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY DR. CLANSY AND SECONDED BY MS. SOKOLOSKY TO APPROVE THE REQUEST WITH MODIFICATIONS. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B. Mr. Norbraten discussed the Compliance list.

26. The Board moved into item XXI, Applications Committee.

A. Ms. Lee discussed the licensing application process.

B. Ms. Lee discussed the LSSP licensing definitions.

C. Ms. Lee stated the comparison of Licensed Psychologist Regular/Experienced Out of State/CPQ/Reciprocity.

(Dr. Hernandez read a drafted response for Dr. Gearley.)

27. The Board moved into item XXII, Publications/Public Information Committee.

A. Ms. Lee stated that the Complaint brochure had been added to the website.

B. Ms. Lee reviewed with the Board the website statistics.

C. Ms. Lee informed the Board that the May Board meeting was not posted on our website under Important Dates.

D. Dr. Stagner asked if the Oral Examination brochure could be added to the website.

28. The meeting was adjourned at 11:50 a.m. by Dr. Stagner.